NGĀ MANU TĀIKO
MANAWATŪ DISTRICT COUNCIL

AGENDA

Meeting to be held
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Dr Richard Templer
Chief Executive
MEMBERSHIP

Chairperson
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Members

Oriana Paewai – Aorangi Marae
Rose Tait – Kauwhata Marae
Maria Maraku-Harrison – Kotuku Marae
Piri-Hira Tukapua – Paranui Marae
Amiria Arapere – Parewahawaha Marae
Kipa Arapere – Poupatate Marae
Robyn Devonshire – Te Au
Wiremu Kingi Te Awe Awe – Te Rangimarie Marae
Manurere Devonshire – Taumata O Te Ra Marae
Atiria Reid – Te Hiiri Marae
Kathryn Knowles – Te Iwa Marae
Aroha Paranihi – Te Tikanga Marae
Her Worship the Mayor, Helen Worboys
Councillor Stuart Campbell
Councillor Alison Short
1. **KARAKIA**

2. **APOLOGIES**

3. **DECLARATIONS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**
   Notification from members of:
   3.1 Any interests that may create a conflict with their role as a committee member relating to the items of business for this meeting; and
   3.2 Any interests in items in which they have a direct or indirect pecuniary interest as provided for in the Local Authorities (Members’ Interests) Act 1968

4. **NOTIFICATION OF LATE ITEMS:**
   Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting, that item may be dealt with at that meeting if:
   4.1 The Committee by resolution so decides; and
   4.2 The Chairperson explains at the meeting at a time when it is open to the public the reason why the item is not on the agenda, and the reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.

5. **CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**
   Draft resolution
   That the minutes of Ngā Manu Tāiko Manawatū District Council meeting held on 11 April 2017 be adopted as a true and correct record.

6. **MATTERS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES**

7. **PRESENTATIONS**
   7.1 MidCentral District Health Board’s Health and Wellbeing Plans – Kelly Isles, Project Manager of Strategy, Planning and Performance, MidCentral District Health Board
   7.2 Maori Home Ownership Workshop – Ricky Pene, First Property Consultancy Ltd
   7.3 PNCCs Proposed Plan Change 16: Tangata Whenua and Resource Management – Michael Duindam, Palmerston North City Council
   7.4 Resource Legislation Amendments 2017 - Overview; Changes to Maori Participation in the RMA – Cynthia Ward, Senior Policy Planner

8. **REPORT BACK FROM TE KAUNIHERA WORKING GROUP**

9. **DISCUSSION POINTS**
   9.1 Review of Representation / Maori Wards
   9.2 MDC Cultural Adviser
   9.3 Kowhai Park Bird Aviaries Update

10. **OFFICER REPORTS**
   10.1 **MANGAWEKA BRIDGE UPDATE**
11. ITEMS OF INTEREST
12. CONSIDERATION OF LATE ITEMS
13. CLOSING KARAKIA
14. MEETING CLOSURE
Minutes of a meeting of Ngā Manu Tāiko Manawatū District Council held on Tuesday 11 April 2017, commencing at 6.06pm in the Manawatū District Council Chambers, 135 Manchester Street, Feilding.

PRESENT:
- Councillor Alison Short (Chairperson)
- Oriana Paewai — Aorangi Marae
- Rosemary Tait — Kauwhata Marae
- Kathryn Knowles — Te Iwa Marae
- Amiria Arapere — Parewahawaha Marae
- Wiremu Te Awe Awe — Te Rangimarie Marae
- Piri-Hira Tukapua — Paranui Marae
- Atiria Reid — Te Hiiri Marae
- Councillor Stuart Campbell

APOLOGIES:
- Manurere Devonshire — Taumata o te Ra Marae
- Maria Maraku-Harrison — Kotuku Marae
- Kipa Arapere — Poupatate Marae
- Her Worship the Mayor

IN ATTENDANCE:
- Trieste Te Awe Awe — Te Rangimarie Marae
- Hamish Waugh — General Manager – Infrastructure
- Tracey Hunt — Strategy Manager
- Colleen Morris — Chief Financial Officer
- Cynthia Ward — Senior Policy Planner
- Stacey Bell — Economic Development Adviser
- Janine Hawthorn — Community Development Adviser
- Allie Dunn — Governance Team Leader

NMT 17/029 OPENING KARAKIA

Wiremu Te Awe Awe provided a karakia timatanga to open the meeting.

NMT 17/030 APOLOGIES

RESOLVED

That the apologies received from Maria Maraku-Harrison, Manurere Devonshire, Kipa Arapere and Her Worship the Mayor be accepted.

Moved by: Atiria Reid
Seconded by: Amiria Arapere
CARRIED

NMT 17/031 DECLARATIONS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

There were no conflicts of interest to declare.

NMT 17/032 NOTIFICATION OF LATE ITEMS:

There were no late items notified for consideration.
NMT 17/033 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

RESOLVED

That the minutes of Ngā Manu Tāiko Manawatū District Council meeting held on 14 February 2017 be adopted as a true and correct record.

Moved by: Councillor Stuart Campbell
Seconded by: Amiria Arapere

CARRIED

NMT 17/034 MATTERS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

There were no matters arising from the minutes.

NMT 17/035 PRESENTATION - FEILDING HEALTH CARE, CLINICAL GOVERNANCE GROUP – JANE AYLING, CLINICAL DIRECTOR

Jane Ayling, Clinical Director for the Feilding Health Centre, accompanied by Adrian Smith, Business Manager, presented on the Clinical Governance Group and their request for a Maori representative to be part of that group.

Her presentation outlined the following:

- Feilding Health Care’s Vision;
- Goals from their business case;
- Feilding Health Care’s structure and where the Clinical Governance Group fitted within that structure;
- The demographics of their patients;
- The goals of their Maori Health Plan for 2016-17
- Current services provided by Feilding Health Care and other services offered on site;
- Online patient portal “ManageMyHealth”

She asked members to consider what their expectations for Feilding Health Care were moving forward, and what was wanted from their services. She asked if committee members could please discuss this and provide feedback to her.

She then spoke about their request for a Maori representative for their Clinical Governance Group. She advised that their meetings were held on the third Wednesday of each month from 12.15pm to 2.00pm and they would appreciate the nomination of a candidate.

The committee members advised they were in a position to make a nomination at this meeting.
RESOLVED

That Nga Manu Taiko Manawatu District Council nominate Oriana Paewai as the Maori representative on the Feilding Health Care Clinical Governance Group.

Moved by: Amiria Arapere
Seconded by: Rose Tait

CARRIED

Oriana Paewai left the meeting at 7.00pm and returned at 7.08pm.

NMT 17/036 PRESENTATION - REVIEW OF STATISTICAL AREAS – STACEY BELL, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADVISOR

Stacey Bell, Economic Development Advisor, presented a request from StatsNZ for feedback on the naming of the area units within the Manawatu District. She noted there was some discussion about whether the proposed names and boundaries reflected the community in that area.

It was agreed that members would take this information back to their marae for discussion and provide feedback direct to Council’s Economic Development Adviser. A copy of the presentation, along with an Infometrics resource on Maori statistical information related to the Manawatu district, would be emailed to members.

NMT 17/037 PRESENTATION - DRAFT ANNUAL PLAN CONSULTATION – TRACEY HUNT, STRATEGY MANAGER

Tracey Hunt, Strategy Manager, spoke about the consultation underway on the draft 2017-18 Annual Plan. She advised that the draft Annual Plan focusses on variations on what Council had set out in its 2015-25 Long Term, and explained the changes being consulted on. She sought comments on Council’s proposal for consideration prior to any final decisions being made, noting that submissions could be made online through Council’s online submission website http://haveyoursay.kiwi.nz.

She advised that Council would welcome a submission from Nga Manu Taiko Manawatu District Council.

NMT 17/038 PRESENTATION - RANGITĀNE O MANAWATU CLAIMS SETTLEMENT ACT 2016 – STATUTORY ACKNOWLEDGEMENT – CYNTHIA WARD, SENIOR POLICY PLANNER

Cynthia Ward presented on the statutory acknowledgement on the Rangitāne o Manawatu Claims Settlement Act 2016 which refers to the Crown’s acknowledge of the statements made by Rangitāne o Manawatu of their particular cultural, spiritual, historical, or traditional association with certain areas of Crown-owned land (agreed historical account), and the apology given by the Crown to Rangitāne o Manawatu in the Deed of Settlement. The Deed of Settlement provides for statutory acknowledgement with respect to the Coastal Area – OTS 182-25’ and a statutory acknowledgement and deeds of recognition with respect to: Manawatu Gorge Scenic Reserve, Manawatu River and its tributaries (within the District), Oroua and Pohangina Rivers and the Ruahine and Tararua Forest Parks (those parts within the District).
The statutory acknowledgement requires Council, as consent authority, to have regard to this statutory acknowledgement in relation to a statutory area. In particular:

- In determining whether Trustees are “affected person” in relation to resource consent applications for an activity within, adjacent to, or directly affecting a statutory area;
- Record the statutory acknowledgement on statutory plans (that relate to statutory areas); and
- provide Rangitāne o Manawatu with summaries of all resource consent applications that may affect the areas named in the Acknowledgement, prior to decision making on consent applications.

NMT 17/039 REPORT BACK FROM TE KAUNIHERA WORKING GROUP

The meeting discussed holding future Working Group sessions at the Council building, being a more central location for members. It was noted that the recent Te Kaunihera Working Group session discussed the proposed Marae visit from Council, and what that could look like, a representative for the Clinical Governance Group, and Maori Wards.

It was asked that notes from the recent Te Kaunihera Working Group session be circulated to members.

NMT 17/040 DISCUSSION POINTS

- Manawatu River Leaders Accord - update requested for future meeting of Nga Manu Taiko Manawatu District Council regarding water quality and actions being taken to make improvements in quality.
- Maori Wards – information requested on those Councils that had implemented Maori Wards for electoral purposes. Also requested a copy of the information paper provided to 14 February 2017 meeting of Nga Manu Taiko regarding establishment of Maori Wards to be circulated to Te Kaunihera Working Group.
- Wastewater Forum being held on 21 April 2017 at Palmerston North Convention Centre
- 23 February 2017 Council officers were welcomed to Kauwhata Marae, supported by Council’s Waiata Group. The Waiata Group also supported the recent Citizenship Ceremony with Waiata.

NMT 17/041 ITEMS OF INTEREST

There were no items of interest for discussion.
NMT 17/042 CONSIDERATION OF LATE ITEMS

There were no items of late business for consideration.

NMT 17/043 CLOSING KARAKIA

Wiremu Te Awe Awe provided a karakia whakamutunga to conclude the proceedings.

NMT 17/044 MEETING CLOSURE

The Chairperson closed the meeting at 8.31pm.

Approved and adopted as a true and correct record.
**Representation Review - Establishment of Maori Ward**

**Purpose**

To advise on provisions within the Local Electoral Act 2001 relating to the establishment of Maori Ward(s) and enable Nga Manu Taiko Manawatu District Council members to give consideration to how they would like to proceed with a review on whether or not there should be a Maori Ward in the Manawatu District for electoral purposes.

**Significance of Decision**

The Council’s Significance and Engagement policy is not triggered by matters discussed in this report.

**Recommendations**

That Nga Manu Taiko Manawatu District Council receives the report on the forthcoming Representation Review and the process for establishment of Maori Wards.

Report prepared by:  
Allie Dunn  
Governance Team Leader

Approved for submission by:  
Shayne Harris  
General Manager - Corporate and Regulatory
1 Contribution to the Council Vision and Council Outcomes

1.1 Relationship to the Council Outcomes that underpin the Council’s Vision:

*Connected, vibrant and thriving Manawatu – the best rural lifestyle in New Zealand*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manawatu District will improve the natural environment, stewarding the district in a practice aligned to the concept of kaitiakitanga.</th>
<th>The Manawatu will attract and retain residents.</th>
<th>Manawatu district develops a broad economic base from its solid foundation in the primary sector.</th>
<th>Manawatu and its people are connected via quality infrastructure and technology.</th>
<th>Manawatu’s built environment is safe, reliable and attractive.</th>
<th>Manawatu District Council is an agile and efficient organisation.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

2 Background

2.1 Every six years Councils are required by the Local Electoral Act 2001 to undertake a review of the Council governance structure to ensure fair and effective representation for individuals and communities.

2.2 The Local Electoral Act 2001 also allows for the establishment of Maori Wards for election of Maori representatives to Councils.

2.3 The question of whether or not to establish Maori Wards was last considered by the then Marae Consultative Standing Committee, which is now known as Nga Manu Taiko Manawatu District Council, in 2010/2011 with the following resolution being made at the 8 April 2011 meeting:

“That a Working Party be established (involving all Marae Consultative Standing Committee members and those from the wider Maori Community) to consider how Maori contribute to decision making at Manawatu District Council. The Working Party will review the makeup, purpose and terms of reference of the Marae Consultative Standing Committee and provide input to the Manawatu District Council representation review by considering the usefulness of a Maori ward or wards for the purpose of achieving effective Maori contribution to decision making at Manawatu District Council.

The conclusions and recommendations of the Working Party will be reported back to the Council via the Marae Consultative Standing Committee.”

2.4 Following that, the Marae Consultative Standing Committee agreed at its 6 September 2011 meeting not to seek establishment of a Maori Ward for electoral purposes.

2.5 Before the Council begins the process of the Review of Representation, and consideration of whether or not this should include Maori Wards for electoral purposes, the Council would like the guidance of Nga Manu Taiko Manawatu District Council how they would like to proceed with a review on whether or not there should be a Maori Ward in the Manawatu District for electoral purposes.

3 Discussion and Options considered

3.1 The following diagram illustrates the decision making involved in considering whether or not to establish Maori Wards.
3.2 As illustrated above, there are four options for Council to consider once guidance has been received from Nga Manu Taiko on whether or not to establish Maori Wards:

- Council resolve to establish one or more Maori Wards;
- Council resolve not to establish one or more Maori Wards;
- Council resolve to hold a poll; or
- Do nothing.

3.3 These options are each discussed below.

**Option 1: Resolve to Establish One or More Maori Wards**

3.4 For any decision to establish Maori Wards to be in effect for the October 2019 local elections, the Council would need to ensure the deadlines set out in the Local Electoral Act.
2001 are adhered to. These deadlines involve a cut-off date for passing a resolution to establish Maori Wards, a closing date for Council to receive any demand to hold a poll, and a final date that any poll must be held by. These are shown in the following graph:

3.5 Should the Council decide to establish one or more Maori Wards for the Manawatu District, following guidance from Nga Manu Taiko, then information on options for the number of wards, the number of members, and the balance of representation for the General Wards would be considered by the Council in the development of its initial proposal in early 2018. Any decision to establish Maori Wards is required to be publicly notified and would be open to a demand for a poll to be held to countermand that resolution.

**Option 2: Resolve NOT to Establish One or More Maori Wards**

3.6 The deadlines outlined above for resolving to establish Maori Wards also applies to any decision NOT to establish seats for specific Maori representation. Such a decision would also need to be publicly notified and would be open to a demand for a poll to be held to countermand that resolution.

**Option 3: Resolve to Hold a Poll**

3.7 The third option open to Council is to resolve to hold a poll. This resolution may, but is not required to, specify the date on which the poll would be held. The Chief Executive would then be required to give notice to the Council’s Electoral Officer of the resolution to hold a poll. If no date for holding the poll is specified, then the poll must be held as soon as practicable. If the resolution does specify a date for holding the poll, it must be at an appropriate time that will enable the Electoral Officer to meet the requirements of Section 19ZF of the Local Electoral Act 2001 to hold the poll within 82 days of receiving the notice.

3.8 The estimated costs for holding a poll have not been investigated at this stage.

**Option 4: Do Nothing**

3.9 The fourth option open to Council is to simply do nothing. The Council would then need to wait until after 28 February 2018 in case a valid demand to hold a poll was received. If a valid demand to hold a poll is not received, then the Council would continue its representation review without including the option of a seat for Maori representation.

**Requirements for a Valid Demand to Hold a Poll**
3.10 The requirements for a valid demand are set out in section 19ZC of the Local Electoral Act 2001, and are summarised as follows:

- Must be made by notice in writing;
- Must be signed by 5% of electors of the Manawatu District – this would require at least 1,004 valid signatures;
- Each signatory must be on the Council’s electoral roll, whether published or unpublished, and also includes those enrolled as a ratepayer elector, plus those enrolled as a parliamentary elector and confirmed by the Registrar of Electors that the address at which they are registered is within the district of the Council;
- Every elector signing the demand must state, against their signature, their name and the address for which they are qualified as an elector of the Council.

3.11 The Chief Executive is required to give notice to the Council’s Electoral Officer of any valid demand to hold a poll as soon as practicable.

3.12 Should a valid demand to hold a poll not be received, for example the required number of valid signatures not provided, then the resolution made by the Council that resulted in the demand being generated (either to establish Maori Wards or to not establish Maori Wards) stands.

Effect of Poll

3.13 If the result of a poll requires the division of the district into one or more Maori Wards, then the district must be divided into those wards for the next two triennial general elections of the Council, and any associated elections (for example elections to fill extraordinary vacancies).

3.14 The district then remains divided into one or more Maori Wards for all subsequent triennial general elections, and other associated elections, until either a further resolution regarding disestablishment of Maori Wards, or the results of poll requiring disestablishment of Maori Wards, takes effect.

4 Operational Implications

4.1 The Council has a contract with Electionz.com to provide the Council with electoral officer functions.

5 Financial implications

5.1 The likely costs of any poll that may be required have not been explored at this stage, and have not been budgeted for.

6 Statutory Requirements

6.1 Parts 19Z to 19ZG of the Local Electoral Act 2001 set out the requirements that Council must follow when consideration is being given to the establishment of one or more Maori Wards.
7 Delegations

7.1 The decision on whether or not to divide the district into Maori Wards for electoral purposes is a statutory responsibility of the Council.

8 Consultation

8.1 Any decisions to establish or not establish Maori Wards are subject to public notification, with the ability of electors to demand a poll to countermand any such decisions.

9 Cultural Considerations

9.1 Guidance is being sought from Nga Manu Taiko Manawatu District Council on how to proceed with this review.

10 Conclusion

10.1 In order for any proposed Maori Wards to come into effect for the 2019-22 triennium, a Council resolution to that effect must be made no later than 23 November 2017, and no valid demand received to hold a poll to countermand that resolution prior to 28 February 2018.

10.2 Should the Council resolve to establish Maori Wards, and no valid demand is received from electors to hold a poll, then that decision is final and remains in force for the next two triennia (i.e. 2019-22 and 2022-25) and after that a resolution to disestablish Maori Wards, or a poll to that effect is required.

10.3 Should the Council resolve to hold a poll on whether or not to establish Maori Wards, then that poll must be held as soon as practicable, and a specific timeline is set by the Local Electoral Act 2001 to hold that poll within. The outcome of that poll would be final and remain in force for the next two triennia.

10.4 If the Council resolves not to establish Maori Wards, or simply does nothing (i.e. pass no resolution on the question), then a demand for a poll of electors can also be made.

10.5 Finally the outcome of the establishment of Maori Wards, once a poll or a resolution to establish or not to establish Maori Wards for the 2019 elections takes effect, cannot be overturned through the representation review consultation process.

11 Attachments

- There are no attachments to this report.
**Mangaweka Bridge Update**

**Purpose**

To inform the Committee of the considerations for replacing the Mangaweka Bridge over the Rangitikei River.

**Significance of Decision**

The Council’s Significance and Engagement policy is not triggered by matters discussed in this report.

**Recommendations**

That the Committee receive the report.

Report prepared by:
Jim Mestyanek
Senior Project Engineer - Roading

Approved for submission by:
Hamish Waugh
General Manager - Infrastructure

---

1. **Executive Summary**

1.1 The bridge has exceeded its design life (114 years old).

1.2 Its current weight limit is 6 tonnes.

1.3 It requires a major treatment.

1.4 Council staff have consulted with the public and have begun consultations with iwi.

1.5 An Indicative Business Case (IBC) is being developed which indicates positive benefits to replacing the bridge.

1.6 It is now appropriate to progress onto the Detailed Business Case (DBC).
2 Contribution to the Council Vision and Council Outcomes

2.1 Relationship to the Council Outcomes that underpin the Council’s Vision:

Connected, vibrant and thriving Manawatu – the best rural lifestyle in New Zealand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manawatu District will improve the natural environment, stewarding the district in a practice aligned to the concept of kaitiakitanga.</th>
<th>The Manawatu will attract and retain residents.</th>
<th>Manawatu district develops a broad economic base from its solid foundation in the primary sector.</th>
<th>Manawatu and its people are connected via quality infrastructure and technology.</th>
<th>Manawatu’s built environment is safe, reliable and attractive.</th>
<th>Manawatu District Council is an agile and efficient organisation.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Background

3.1 The Mangaweka Bridge was constructed in 1904 and is located 1 km east of SH1 near the Mangaweka township. The bridge, which spans the upper Rangitikei River, is a boundary bridge shared by Manawatu and Rangitikei District Councils.

3.2 In 2016 a detailed inspection of the bridge revealed that the timber structure on the two end bays at each end of the bridge were rotten and required urgent repair. The bridge was closed, and the critical timbers at each end were replaced.

3.3 During the closure further inspections were carried out which revealed more rotten timber along the bridge.

3.4 The bridge was reopened on 20 October 2016 with the following limits: 3T axles, 6T gross, a 10kph speed limit and no stopping signs.

3.5 An analysis of the structure was carried out to ascertain the likely cost and viability of replacing the timber deck. Strengthening would cost in the range of $6 to $9million. If strengthened the bridge would only be capable of supporting truck loadings of between 26 and 30 tonnes.

3.6 A draft Indicative Business Case has been completed, and the preferred option is to construct a new bridge alongside of the existing bridge, retaining the existing bridge for pedestrian and cycle use only. The final report is expected to be completed by 30th June 2017. The Indicative Business Case will then be submitted to the Transport Agency for its consideration.

4 Discussion and Options considered

4.1 There is a strong enough indication to progress to the next phase: the Detailed Business Case. This will aim to narrow down a short list of three options to one preferred option. It will include a detailed financial, economic, and social assessment of this option to identify the precise functional requirements of the new bridge. It will also include hydrological and geotechnical investigations in preparation for design.

5 Operational Implications

5.1 If approved, the Detailed Business Case will be carried out within normal operating procedures in the year 2017-18.
6 Financial implications

6.1 There are no financial implications for Nga Manu Taiko.

6.2 All costs shall be shared 50:50 between Manawatu and Rangitikei District Councils.

7 Statutory Requirements

7.1 There are no statutory requirements at this stage.

8 Delegations

8.1 Nil required

9 Consultation

9.1 Approximately 300 people were invited to a Public Meeting on 30 March 2017 at Awastone Camp located at the eastern approach to the bridge. Eighty-Five people were present at the meeting, including Hon Ian McKelvie MP, both mayors, both deputy mayors, other Councillors, Council staff, local residents, and industry personnel representing sectors such as stock transport, forestry, heavy haulage, and emergency services. Ngati Hauiti were invited but were unavailable due to heavy workloads in connection with their current Waitangi Tribunal hui.

9.2 At the Public meeting, participants voiced a strong and united desire to have the bridge replaced. They cited a wide variety of the social, economic, and land use reasons why a bridge replacement is needed. We also discussed the possible options for retaining or strengthening or demolishing the existing bridge.

9.3 On 6 Apr and 5 May, Council held two workshops to assist in developing the Indicative Business Case (IBC). Twenty key stakeholders participated in these workshops.

9.4 On 22 May 2017 Council issued a media release updating the general public on progress of the IBC. On 24 May, Council sent the same update via email to all those invited to the Public Meeting.

10 Cultural Considerations

10.1 For a project of this nature and size, the Local Government Act 2002 requires Council to include in the planning all possible iwi who may have interests in the project.

10.2 To identify the relevant iwi, we consulted both Te Puna Kokiri and Jerald Twomey, Senior Policy Planner Iwi at Horizons Regional Council.

10.3 Te Puna Kokiri identifies the primary mandated iwi holding mana whenua over this particular location as Ngati Hauiti. Robert Martin is the delegated contact person. We took contact with him via email and face-to-face korero and invited him to have the iwi’s voice heard in the planning process. He circulated our invitation to the members of the runanga. Their response was that they would be content for the Councils to proceed without their input. They do not expect to raise any objections to the planned replacement.
10.4 We also asked Robert to circulate our invitation to the following related iwi/hapu in the Taihape/Moawhango regions.

- Ngāti Whitikaupeka
- Ngāti Tamakōpiri
- Ngai Te Ohuake

The initial indication is that these hapu are content for Ngati Hauiti to represent their interests in respect to this project.

10.5 Te Puna Kokiri also lists the following mandated iwi, with recognised rohe slightly further afield from the bridge location. We have made initial contact with them requesting korero.

- Ngāti Apa
- Ngāti Raukawa
- Rangitane o Manawatu

10.6 Finally, Jerald Twomey has identified three others which might have interests in the location. We have made initial contact with them via email.

- Ngāti Hinemanu,
- Ngāti Paki
- Ngāti Tūwharetoa

11 Conclusion

11.1 The draft Indicative Business Case (IBC) shows that it is likely to be viable to build a new bridge alongside the existing bridge, while retaining the existing for pedestrian and cycle use.

11.2 After the IBC is complete (30 June 2017) it will then be appropriate to progress this project to the next phase: Detailed Business Case. This phase will aim to identify and assess a single preferred option for design. It will include financial, economic, and social analysis of this option, as well as hydrological and geotechnical investigations in preparation for design.

12 Attachments

12.1 There are no attachments.