STRAATEGIC PLANNING AND POLICY COMMITTEE

AGENDA

Meeting to be held

THURSDAY 21 APRIL 2016

8.45am

(or following the conclusion of the preceding Council meeting)

In the Manawatu District Council Chambers,
135 Manchester Street, Feilding

Shayne Harris
Acting Chief Executive
MEMBERSHIP

Chairperson

Councillor Tony Jensen

Deputy Chairperson

Councillor Howard Voss

Members

Her Worship the Mayor, Margaret Kouvelis
Councillor Steve Bielski
Councillor Barbara Cameron
Councillor Shane Casey
Councillor Wayne Ellery
Councillor Jo Heslop
Councillor Albert James
Councillor Andrew Quarrie
Councillor Alison Short
ORDER OF BUSINESS

1. MEETING OPENING

2. APOLOGIES

3. REQUESTS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

4. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Draft resolution

That the minutes of the Strategic Planning and Policy Committee meeting held 17 March 2016 be adopted as a true and correct record.

5. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Notification from elected members of:

5.1 Any interests that may create a conflict with their role as an elected member relating to the items of business for this meeting; and

5.2 Any interests in items in which they have a direct or indirect pecuniary interest as provided for in the Local Authorities (Members’ Interests) Act 1968

6. NOTIFICATION OF LATE ITEMS:

Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting, that item may be dealt with at that meeting if:

6.1 The Council by resolution so decides; and

6.2 The Chairperson explains at the meeting at a time when it is open to the public the reason why the item is not on the agenda, and the reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.

7. PRESENTATIONS

There are no presentations scheduled for this meeting.

8. OFFICER REPORTS

8.1 MAKINO AQUATIC CENTRE REDEVELOPMENT – POOL COVERS

8.2 SECTIONAL DISTRICT PLAN REVIEW – PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 52 - INDUSTRIAL


8.3 PROPOSED ROAD STOPPING – DOUGLAS SQUARE, RONGOTEA


8.4 PROPOSED ROAD STOPPING – MARSHALL SQUARE, HALCOMBE


10. AUDIT AND RISK

10.1 Key Projects Status Report – Makino Aquatic Centre Redevelopment

11. CONSIDERATION OF LATE ITEMS

12. MEETING CLOSURE
Minutes of a meeting of the Strategic Planning and Policy Committee held on Thursday 17 March 2016, commencing at 9.22am in the Manawatu District Council Chambers, 135 Manchester Street, Feilding.

PRESENT: Cr Tony Jensen (Chairperson)
Mayor Margaret Kouvelis
Cr Steve Bielski
Cr Barbara Cameron
Cr Shane Casey
Cr Wayne Ellery
Cr Jo Heslop
Cr Albert James
Cr Andrew Quarrie
Cr Alison Short
Cr Howard Voss

IN ATTENDANCE: Lorraine Vincent (Chief Executive)
Brent Limmer (General Manager - Community and Strategy)
Shayne Harris (General Manager – Business)
Hamish Waugh (General Manager – Infrastructure)
Michael Hawker (Project Delivery Manager)
Doug Tate (Community Facilities Manager)
Michael Taylor (Project Engineer)
Paul Stein (Communications Adviser)
Sandra Crosbie (Communications Officer)
Wendy Thompson (Principal Planner)
Vicki Wills (Corporate Projects Officer)
Colleen Morris (Principal Financial Adviser)
Tracey Hunt (Strategy Manager)
Laurie Franks (Environmental Health Officer)
Allie Dunn (Business Support Team Leader)

SPP 16/118 MEETING OPENING
Councillor Jensen declared the meeting open.

SPP 16/119 APOLOGIES
There were no apologies.

SPP 16/120 REQUESTS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE
There were no requests for leave of absence.

SPP 16/121 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

RESOLVED
That the minutes of the Strategic Planning and Policy Committee meeting held 18 February 2016 be adopted as a true and correct record.
Moved by: Councillor Howard Voss
Seconded by: Councillor Wayne Ellery
CARRIED

SPP 16/122 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

SPP 16/123 NOTIFICATION OF LATE ITEMS:

There were no late items notified for consideration.

SPP 16/124 PRESENTATION - FEILDING CIVIC CENTRE TRUST

David Stroud and Helen Worboys, of the Feilding Civic Centre Trust, were in attendance. David spoke about upcoming appointment of trustees, noting that all of the current trustees were interested in standing again. He spoke about their concern about continuity of trustees for the projects they are currently involved in. David and Helen then spoke about the six monthly report to 31 December 2015.

SPP 16/125 FEILDING CIVIC CENTRE TRUST SIX MONTH REPORT


RESOLVED

That the Strategic Planning and Policy Committee receives Feilding Civic Centre Trust’s 6-month report for the period ending 31 December 2015.

Moved by: Her Worship the Mayor Margaret Kouvelis
Seconded by: Councillor Shane Casey
CARRIED

SPP 16/126 PRESENTATION - FEILDING PROMOTION INC

Jason Smith, Kirsten Harper and Helen Worboys of Feilding Promotion Inc were in attendance. They spoke about the highlights from the six months to 31 December 2015, and the work they are undertaking on the structure of Feilding Promotion. They suggested setting up a working group between Feilding Promotion and Council. They asked that Council look at the funding for Feilding Promotion going forward.

The meeting adjourned for a tea break at 9.56am and reconvened at 10.22am
SPP 16/127 PRESENTATION - SPORT MANAWATU

Sean Whenuaroa, Regional Talent Development Advisor, Jessica Gates, Manawatu Recreation Advisor and Brad Cassidy, Active Communities Manager were in attendance. Sean Whenuaroa gave a presentation to the committee on highlights from Sport Manawatu’s report on the six months to 31 December 2015. He thanked the Council for their support.

SPP 16/128 SPORT MANAWATU SIX MONTH REPORT


RESOLVED

That the Strategic Planning and Policy Committee receives Sport Manawatu’s 6-month report for the period ending 31 December 2015.

Moved by: Her Worship the Mayor Margaret Kouvelis
Seconded by: Councillor Barbara Cameron

CARRIED

SPP 16/129 FEILDING PROMOTION INC ANNUAL ACCOUNTS TO 30 JUNE 2015 AND SIX MONTH REPORT


RESOLVED

That the Strategic Planning and Policy Committee:

1. Receives Feilding Promotion’s Annual Accounts for the year ending 30 June 2015.

2. Receives Feilding Promotion’s 6-month Report for the period ending 31 December 2015.

Moved by: Councillor Albert James
Seconded by: Councillor Howard Voss

CARRIED

SPP 16/130 PRESENTATION - MANAWATU COMMUNITY TRUST

John Culling, Chairperson, along with Trustees Rod Titcombe, Albert Dodunski and Colin McJannett, presented their report for the six months to 31 December 2015.
They outlined how a change in policy midway through the year had affected the occupancy levels of the units, as they now take time after a unit becomes vacant to undertake maintenance of the unit before a new tenant takes over. They noted that the next six month report would report on the operation of the new Health Centre.

SPP 16/131 MANAWATU COMMUNITY TRUST SIX MONTH REPORT


RESOLVED

That the Strategic Planning and Policy Committee receives the Manawatu Community Trust’s 6-month report for the period ending 31 December 2015.

Moved by: Councilor Alison Short
Seconded by: Councilor Jo Heslop
CARRIED

Her Worship the Mayor left the meeting at 11.00am and returned to the meeting at 11.02am.

SPP 16/132 MANAWATU COMMUNITY TRUST’S DRAFT 2016-17 STATEMENT OF INTENT

Report of the General Manager – Community and Strategy dated 3 March 2016 presenting the Manawatu Community Trust’s draft 2016/2017 Statement of Intent to Council’s Strategic Planning and Policy Committee for review and comment.

RESOLVED

That the Strategic Planning and Policy Committee receive the Manawatu Community Trust’s draft 2016/2017 Statement of Intent for the year 1 July 2016 to 30 June 2017.

Moved by: Councilor Barbara Cameron
Seconded by: Councilor Shane Casey
CARRIED

SPP 16/133 PRESENTATION - AWAHURI FOREST KITCHENER PARK TRUST

Chris Symonds and Jill Darragh, Trustees of the Awahuri Forest / Kitchener Park Trust were in attendance. They tendered apologies from their Chairperson, Geoff Lovegrove, and Trustees Dennis Emery and Wendy Knight who were unable to attend. Chris and Jill spoke to the Trust’s report, noting the need for the Boardwalk to be completed, and advising that access to the park at Rata Street was an issue.
SPP 16/134  AWAHURI FOREST KITCHENER PARK TRUST SIX MONTH REPORT


RESOLVED

That the Strategic Planning and Policy Committee receives Awahuri Forest Kitchener Park Trust’s 6-month report for the period ending 31 December 2015.

Moved by: Councillor Barbara Cameron
Seconded by: Councillor Albert James

CARRIED

The meeting adjourned at 11.21am and reconvened at 12.32pm.

Her Worship the Mayor was not present at this part of the meeting.

SPP 16/135  LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SERVICES REPORT

Report of the General Manager – Community and Strategy dated 8 March 2016 presenting options about future funding and delivery of local economic development services.

RECOMMENDED

1. That Council agrees to contribute $80,000 per annum of existing funding currently used to purchase Information Services to the Central Economic Development Agency for the provision of Information Services in the Manawatu District.

2. That Council agrees to contribute $23,000 per annum of existing funding currently used towards renting a building to the Central Economic Development Agency as a contribution towards accommodation costs.

3. That Council agrees to administer the current Central Business District Security service at a cost of approximately $79,000 per annum.

4. That Council agrees to transfer $100,000 per annum of existing funding used to purchase events and other local economic development services to the Community Development Fund for events and local economic development services that meet the criteria of Community Development Funding Policy.

5. That Council agrees to transfer $77,000 per annum of existing funding into the internal Economic Development Opportunity and Collaboration budget to be used on any opportunities, shared projects, local services and or further support for the Central Economic Development Agency.
Moved by: Councillor Barbara Cameron
Seconded by: Councillor Howard Voss
CARRIED

**SPP 16/136  DISTRICT PLAN REVIEW – PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 60 DESIGNATIONS**


**RESOLVED**

That the Strategic Planning and Policy Committee approve Proposed Plan Change 60 for public notification under Clause 5 Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

That the General Manager – Community and Strategy be authorised to make minor amendments to Proposed Plan Change 60 prior to public notification.

Moved by: Councillor Howard Voss
Seconded by: Councillor Shane Casey
CARRIED

**SPP 16/137  CHURCHER STREET PORT STREET EAST TRUNK SEWER CONTRACT**

Report of the General Manager – Infrastructure dated 9 March 2016 recommending the award of the Churcher Street Port Street East Trunk Sewer Precinct 4 – Trunk Sewer Stage 1 (C4/1561) contract to Blackley Construction Ltd. for the sum of $639,083.27 (excl. GST), inclusive of a Contingency Sum of $90,000.00.

**RESOLVED**

That the Churcher Street Port Street East Trunk Sewer Precinct 4 – Trunk Sewer Stage 1 (C4/1561) be awarded to Blackley Construction Ltd. for the sum of $639,083.27 (excl. GST), inclusive of a Contingency Sum of $90,000.00.

Moved by: Councillor Wayne Ellery
Seconded by: Councillor Albert James
CARRIED

**SPP 16/138  AUDIT AND RISK**

There were no items to report.

**SPP 16/139  CONSIDERATION OF LATE ITEMS**

There were no late items for consideration.
SPP 16/140 MEETING CLOSURE

The meeting closed at 1.03pm.

Approved and adopted as a true and correct record:

----------------------------------------  ----------------------------------------
CHAIRPERSON                              DATE


Makino Aquatic Centre Redevelopment - Pool Cover Funding

Purpose

To seek Council approval to bring funding forward in the Long Term Plan to the 2015/16 financial year for the purchase of replacement pool covers for the Makino Aquatic Centre.

Significance of Decision

The Council’s Significance and Engagement policy is not triggered by matters discussed in this report.

Recommendations

That Council brings forward funding of $30,000 from Year 2 of the 2015-2025 Long Term Plan to Year 1 in order for the purchase of replacement pool covers for the Makino Aquatic Centre.

Report prepared by:
Doug Tate
Community Facilities Manager

Approved for submission by:
Brent Limmer
General Manager - Community and Strategy
1 Contribution to the Council Vision and Council Outcomes

1.1 Relationship to the Council Outcomes that underpin the Council’s Vision:

Connected, vibrant and thriving Manawatu – the best rural lifestyle in New Zealand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manawatu District will improve the natural environment, stewarding the district in a practice aligned to the concept of kaitiakitanga.</th>
<th>The Manawatu will attract and retain residents.</th>
<th>Manawatu district develops a broad economic base from its solid foundation in the primary sector.</th>
<th>Manawatu and its people are connected via quality infrastructure and technology.</th>
<th>Manawatu’s built environment is safe, reliable and attractive.</th>
<th>Manawatu District Council is an agile and efficient organisation.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Background

2.1 The existing pool covers at the Makino Aquatic Centre were planned to be replaced in Year 2 of the Long Term Plan, having reached the end of their planned lifecycle. Renewal funding of $30,000 is in the Long Term Plan for their replacement.

2.2 An opportunity exists as part of the current redevelopment contract to purchase the pool covers in the current financial year and to receive a seven percent discount on the purchase price of the new pool covers.

2.3 Purchasing the new covers in the current financial year will allow for the covers to be purchased and installed in the complex ahead of the completion of the Makino Aquatic Centre Redevelopment, rather than the old pool covers.

3 Discussion and Options considered

3.1 Options for Council to consider include:

a) Not bringing the funding forward to the current financial year and therefore not taking advantage of the discount;

b) Choosing to not fund the planned replacement of the covers at all and re-install the old covers.

3.2 Option B is not recommended as the covers have reached the end of their lifecycle and will fail.

4 Operational Implications

4.1 The replacement covers will be thermally superior to the current covers, which will result in some additional energy savings, although these will be minor.

4.2 If the covers are not replaced there will be increased ongoing operational costs, continuing to repair the existing old covers.
5 **Financial implications**

5.1 The pool cover replacements will be funded through the depreciation fund, consistent with funding in the 2015-2025 Long Term Plan. This means that there will be no additional rate impact as a result of this decision. The result of bringing the expenditure forward relates to planned cash-flow only.

6 **Statutory Requirements**

6.1 There are no statutory requirements in making this decision.

7 **Delegations**

7.1 The Delegations Manual dated 19 November 2014 delegates authority to the Chief Executive to undertake unbudgeted capital and operational expenditure up to $100,000. Despite this authority, approval is sought from Council to bring the funding forward.

8 **Consultation**

8.1 There are no consultation requirements in making this decision.

9 **Cultural Considerations**

9.1 There are no cultural consideration in making this decision.

10 **Conclusion**

10.1 There is sound rationale for the covers to be replaced in the current financial year, receiving the discount as part of the current contract and having the replacement covers in the complex prior to reopening.
Strategic Planning and Policy Committee

Meeting of 21 April 2016

Business Unit: Community and Strategy
Date Created: 31 March 2016

Sectional District Plan Review - Proposed Change 52 - Industrial

Purpose

The purpose of this report is to seek approval to publicly notify Proposed Plan Change 52 in accordance with Clause 5, of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act (1991) ("the Act").

Significance of Decision

The Council’s Significance and Engagement policy is not triggered by matters discussed in this report.

Recommendations


That the General Manager – Community and Strategy be authorised to make minor amendments to Proposed Plan Change 52 prior to public notification.

Report prepared by:
Wendy Thompson
Principal Planning Adviser

Approved for submission by:
Brent Limmer
General Manager - Community and Strategy

1 Executive Summary

1.1 Proposed Plan Change 52 enables the continued operation and establishment of industrial activities in appropriate locations within the Manawatu District.

1.2 To meet future demand it is also proposed to rezone 15.6 hectares of rural land to industrial along Turners Road within the Kawakawa Industrial Park. A specific structure
plan has been created for this area to direct subdivision and industrial development and integrated infrastructure planning and delivery.

1.3 The Proposed Plan Change promotes the achievement of a reasonable level of environmental amenity within industrial areas, particularly where activities are visible from the road, public spaces or adjacent zones.

2 Contribution to the Council Vision and Council Outcomes

2.1 Relationship to the Council Outcomes that underpin the Council’s Vision:

*Connected, vibrant and thriving Manawatu – the best rural lifestyle in New Zealand*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manawatu District will improve the natural environment, stewarding the district in a practice aligned to the concept of kaitiakitanga.</th>
<th>The Manawatu will attract and retain residents.</th>
<th>Manawatu district develops a broad economic base from its solid foundation in the primary sector.</th>
<th>Manawatu and its people are connected via quality infrastructure and technology.</th>
<th>Manawatu’s built environment is safe, reliable and attractive.</th>
<th>Manawatu District Council is an agile and efficient organisation.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Background


3.2 To meet future demand, Council is proposing to expand the existing Industrial Zone at Kawakawa/Turners Road. The Growth Precinct 5 Structure Plan, has been prepared for the Kawakawa Industrial Park Growth Area.

3.3 Proposed Plan Change 52 establishes a strong focus on improving and managing the visual amenity of the Industrial Zone, particularly where such land is potentially visible from key road corridors, public places or adjacent zones – Residential, Village and Rural. In the Kawakawa Industrial Park these objectives will be achieved with structure planning, which provides landscaping and site layout guidance.

3.4 Given the nature of effects that can arise from industrial activities, it is important to provide and promote suitable locations to accommodate the demands of the various small to large, wet and dry industries that may contemplate development within the Manawatu District.

3.5 It is equally important that suitable land is zoned for industrial activities and appropriate restrictions apply to non-industrial activities to avoid or minimise the potential for risk, reverse sensitivity and other potential issues and to protect industrial land for industrial type activities.

4 Discussion and Options considered

4.1 The accompanying Section 32 report identifies that Proposed Plan Change 52 is the most efficient and effective means of meeting the purpose of the RMA 1991.
5 **Operational Implications**

5.1 There are no capital/operating expenditure implications or maintenance costs associated with this topic. The Council’s infrastructure growth works have been scheduled within the Development Contribution Policy and Asset Management Plans.

6 **Financial implications**

6.1 The resource requirements are in accordance with existing budgetary allocation.

7 **Statutory Requirements**

7.1 The statutory requirements under the Resource Management Act 1991 are being followed.

7.2 Section 32 of the Act requires local authorities to prepare a report summarising the evaluation of alternatives, benefits and costs of the Proposed Plan Change and reasons for that evaluation. The Section 32 report is attached.

7.3 Minor amendments to Proposed Plan Change 52 may be required as a result of any feedback arising from the legal review currently being undertaken. These minor amendments will be incorporated into the plan change documents prior to public notification.

8 **Delegations**

8.1 Council has delegated authority to the Strategic Planning and Policy Committee to decide matters relating to the public notification of Proposed Plan Change 52.

9 **Consultation**

9.1 The consultation process for undertaking a change to the District Plan is directed by the RMA 1991.

9.2 Consultation was carried out during the preparation of Plan Change 52, as required by Clause 3 of Schedule 1 of the RMA. The consultation process included:

a) Letters, including fact sheets, asking for feedback were distributed to landowners who own industrial land within the district and to owners of land adjacent the industrial zone.

b) Letters, including fact sheets, asking for feedback were distributed to Horizons Regional Council, Horowhenua District Council, Tararua District Council, Rangitikei District Council, Department of Conservation, Ministry for the Environment, NZ Transport Agency, Powerco and other utility operators, Iwi Authorities and Heritage New Zealand.

c) Various meetings and telephone conversation with affected parties.

9.3 Feedback was received from the following parties:

- Horizons Regional Council in relation to ‘giving effect’ to the One Plan through flood hazard and stormwater neutrality regulation.
• NZ Fire Service Commission who support rule 16.4.2 relating to water supply.
• HW Richardson Group in relation to reverse sensitivity and amenity issues and the appropriateness of activity classes for consenting, height, yards and landscape provisions.
• K and B Quigley, R and M Taylor in relation to potential amenity effects for rural and residential zoned land adjacent to South Street and to the north of the Kawakawa Industrial Park.
• Ballance Agri Nutrients opposing the indicative road layout shown on the proposed structure plan, the landscaping, screening and streetscape design provisions and clarification of the activity status of bulk fertiliser storage in the Zone.
• NZ Transport Agency were concerned to know about the timing and funding of upgrades likely to be required at the Turners Road/State Highway 54 intersection, as the development of the area proceeds. They were also concerned that plants adjacent to arterial road be frangible for safety reasons.
• The Oil Companies in relation to reverse sensitivity and the focus on enhancing amenity values and the appropriateness of activity classes for consenting, height, yards and landscape provisions.
• D Mody, H Wheeler, G Morris and the Rongotea Community Committee opposing the proposed rezoning of land within the Rongotea township, from Industrial to Village Zone.

9.4. Proposed Plan Change 52 has been reviewed in light of the comments received and amendments made to reflect some of the changes sought by feedback received. In the case of Rongotea it was decided that the Industrial Zone be retained.

9.5 Public notification of Plan Change 52 under the RMA 1991 will provide the opportunity for the public to make submission and further submission. This will be followed by a public hearing.

10 Cultural Considerations

10.1 Consultation has been undertaken with Tangata Whenua. No formal feedback was received on draft Plan Change 52.

11 Conclusion

11.1 Proposed Plan Change 52 enables the continued operation and establishment of industrial activities in appropriate locations, through the on-going maintenance of Industrial zoned land and provides a new planning framework and rules for the extension of industrial land at Turners Road.

11.2 The Plan Change focuses on the need to achieve a reasonable amenity within industrial areas particularly where activities are visible from road, public spaces or adjacent zones.

11.3 An evaluation under Section 32 of the Act has been undertaken which is in accordance with Section 32(5) of the Resource Management 1991.
11.4 It is considered that Proposed Plan Change 52 is the most appropriate method to meet the purpose of the Act and accords with the prescribed process under Section 32(4) of the Resource Management Act.

11.5 If the Committee approves the recommendations of this report, the next step will be for the Council to publicly notify Plan Change 52 and call for submissions. If approved, public notification will occur on the 2nd of May 2016.

12 Attachments

- Proposed Plan Change 52 – Section 32 Report
- Proposed Plan Change 52
- Set of Zoning Maps
Road Stopping Application, Douglas Square, Rongotea

Purpose

The purpose of this report is to seek approval to proceed with the stopping of a road under the Public Works Act 1981. The road affected by this application is that forming part of Douglas Square, Rongotea.

Significance of Decision

The Council’s Significance and Engagement policy is not triggered by matters discussed in this report.

Recommendations

That the Strategic Planning and Policy Committee grant approval to the application to close a part of Douglas Square, Rongotea.

Report prepared by:
Lynette Baish
Senior Consents Planner

Approved for submission by:
Shayne Harris
General Manager - Business
Executive Summary

The applicant, John Silvester has applied to stop part of the road known as Douglas Square. It is proposed that a small section of road reserve is closed adjacent with a property at 15 Douglas Square, the former Rongotea Post Office.

Contribution to the Council Vision and Council Outcomes

Relationship to the Council Outcomes that underpin the Council's Vision:

Connected, vibrant and thriving Manawatu – the best rural lifestyle in New Zealand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manawatu District will improve the natural environment, stewarding the district in a practice aligned to the concept of kaitiakitanga.</th>
<th>✓</th>
<th>The Manawatu will attract and retain residents.</th>
<th>✓</th>
<th>Manawatu district develops a broad economic base from its solid foundation in the primary sector.</th>
<th>✓</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manawatu and its people are connected via quality infrastructure and technology.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Manawatu’s built environment is safe, reliable and attractive.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Manawatu District Council is an agile and efficient organisation.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Background

John Silvester has purchased the old Rongotea post office, a recognised heritage feature (District Plan Ref. RB7), and wishes to refurbish and convert the building into a residential dwelling. The building is currently accessed via a ramp and covered verandah which extends out over the pedestrian footpath, and therefore the road reserve. The building falls within the Rongotea Village Zone.

The application proposes to close a 10m(l) x 1.25m(w) portion of the footpath and to assimilate this strip within the title for the property legally described as Lot 2 DP 81870.

Discussion and Options considered

It is considered that this application can be processed pursuant to the Public Works Act 1981 as there is no wider public interest identified in this case.

The public is not seen as affected as access would not be inhibited in any way by the proposal. The footpath is approximately 3.5m in width, and there would be a remaining width of footpath of approximately 2.25m.

The post office was built out over the road reserve in order to accommodate access for disabled persons to utilise the postal service. The ramp and verandah is part of the overall building and property, addressed 15 Douglas Square that has been purchased, and is now the property of John Silvester.

The applicant wishes to reconfigure the frontage of the building in order to provide future occupants of the building with privacy, as well as to provide a standard of amenity to the building to improve its look from road view. To achieve this the applicant wishes to integrate the strip of public road reserve within the title for the property.

The Strategic Planning and Policy Committee may:
a) Accept the request to stop the section of road reserve pursuant to s.116 of the Public Works Act and to enable the closed section road to be amalgamated with Lot 2 DP 81870.

b) Decline the road stopping application.

4.6 It is considered that in approving the request, footpath access would not be compromised; nor would the ability for the building owner to refurbish the building in such a manner as to provide for village amenity, for the privacy and amenity of future occupants and for the architectural integrity of the heritage qualities of the building, be constrained.

4.7 It is recommended that the Strategic Planning and Policy Committee grant approval to the road stopping as it would ensure that the interests of Council, the public and of the land owner and future occupants of the building are met.

5 Operational Implications

5.1 There are no capital/operational expenditure implications or maintenance costs associated with this paper.

6 Financial implications

6.1 There is no financial resource impact. The applicant, Mr Silvester will meet the cost of actions associated with the land transfer.

7 Statutory Requirements

7.1 The Public Works Act 1981 can only be used when there is no wider public interest in the land in question and where any identified parties have given their written consent.

7.2 There are no private land owners affected by the road stopping.

7.3 Council’s Roading Engineer has no objection to the proposed road stopping.

8 Delegations

8.1 The Strategic Planning and Policy Committee have the delegated authority to provide their approval to enable the application to proceed through the Public Works Act 1981 process.

9 Consultation

9.1 No consultation has been undertaken in respect of the application.

10 Cultural Considerations

10.1 There are no cultural considerations to be made in respect of the proposed road stopping as there are no known cultural sites in the vicinity.
11 Conclusion

11.1 It is the officer’s recommendation that the Council accepts the request from John Silvester to stop the section of road reserve. The road and footpath would continue to be passable. The strip of road reserve would vest with Mr John Silvester.

11.2 No private landowners are affected and there is no wider public interest in the reduction of the width of the footpath. The health and safety of the community would not be compromised by the reduction in the width of the footpath for the 10m section identified.

12 Attachments

- *Boundary Survey for J Silvester prepared by Pirie Consultants (February 2016)*
  Ref. No. 3413
Proposed Road Stopping, Marshall Square, Halcombe

Purpose

The purpose of this report is to seek approval to proceed with the stopping of a road under the Public Works Act 1981. The road affected by this application is that forming part of Marshall Square, Halcombe.

Significance of Decision

The Council’s Significance and Engagement policy is not triggered by matters discussed in this report.

Recommendations

That the Strategic Planning and Policy Committee grant approval to the application to close a part of Marshall Square, Halcombe.
situation where land and buildings owned by the applicant are partially located on an unformed paper road.

2 Contribution to the Council Vision and Council Outcomes

2.1 Relationship to the Council Outcomes that underpin the Council’s Vision:

*Connected, vibrant and thriving Manawatu – the best rural lifestyle in New Zealand*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manawatu District will improve the natural environment, stewarding the district in a practice aligned to the concept of kaitiakitanga.</th>
<th>The Manawatu will attract and retain residents.</th>
<th>Manawatu district develops a broad economic base from its solid foundation in the primary sector.</th>
<th>Manawatu and its people are connected via quality infrastructure and technology.</th>
<th>Manawatu’s built environment is safe, reliable and attractive.</th>
<th>Manawatu District Council is an agile and efficient organisation.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Background

3.1 Mr Johnston is the owner of a property at 7 Gilbert Street, Halcombe, the southern boundary of which borders with an unformed road being Marshall Square.

3.2 The purpose of the application is to rationalise a situation where a garage, woodshed and water tank encroach onto a paper road, and to assimilate the land and buildings into Mr Johnsons land title, legally described as Lots 219 and 220 DP 42.

3.3 To this end, Mr Johnston wishes to stop the east to west section of the paper road, a land area of approximately 650m² being 59m in length and 11m wide.

4 Discussion and Options considered

4.1 It is considered that the application can be processed pursuant to the Public Works Act 1981 as there is no wider public interest recognised in association with the proposed road stopping.

4.2 The Strategic Planning and Policy Committee may:

   a) Accept the request to stop a 59m section of unformed road pursuant to s.116 of the Public Works Act and to enable the closed section of road to be amalgamated with Lots 219 and 220 DP 42.

   b) Decline the road stopping application.

5 Operational Implications

5.1 There are no capital/operational expenditure implications or maintenance costs associated with this paper.

6 Financial implications

6.1 There is no financial resource impact. The applicant, Mr Johnston will meet the cost of actions associated with the land transfer.
7 Statutory Requirements

7.1 The Public Works Act 1981 can only be used when there is no wider public interest in the land in question and where any identified parties have given their written consent.

7.2 Two private land owners whose properties adjoin the paper road are affected by the road stopping application (see Consultation section below), both of which have provided their written consent in support of the road stopping.

7.3 Council’s Roading Engineer has no objection to the proposed road stopping.

8 Delegations

8.1 The Strategic Planning and Policy Committee have the delegated authority to provide their approval to enable the application to proceed through the Public Works Act 1981 process.

9 Consultation

9.1 The applicant has consulted with, and gained written agreement from, two adjoining property owners who were determined to be affected by the road stopping application. These parties are:

- Bevan Joelle, 37 Stanway Road, Halcombe
- John Williamson, 39 Stanway Road, Halcombe

Neither party wishes to acquire a share of the land in question.

10 Cultural Considerations

10.1 There are no cultural considerations to be made in respect of the proposed road stopping as there are no known cultural sites in the vicinity.

11 Conclusion

11.1 It is the officer’s recommendation that the Council accepts the request from David Johnston to stop the section of unformed paper road. The road would no longer be passable. The strip of road would vest with David Johnston.

11.2 Two adjacent landowners are affected by the proposed road stopping and have provided their written agreement to the road stopping. There is no wider public interest in the proposed road stopping. The health and safety of the community would not be compromised by the proposed road stopping.

12 Attachments

- Map of Marshall Square
MAP OF UNFORMED ROAD PROPOSED TO BE CLOSED AT MARSHALL SQUARE, ADJACENT WITH GILBERT STREET, HALCOMBE
Key Projects Status Report - Makino Aquatic Centre Redevelopment

Purpose

To present for information the Key Projects Status Report for the Makino Aquatic Centre Redevelopment.

Significance of Decision

The Council’s Significance and Engagement policy is not triggered by matters discussed in this report.

Recommendations

1. That the Strategic Planning and Policy Subcommittee receive for information the Key Projects Status Report for the Makino Aquatic Centre Redevelopment.

Report prepared by:
Michael Hawker
Project Delivery Manager

Approved for submission by:
Brent Limmer
General Manager - Community and Strategy
1 Contribution to the Council Vision and Council Outcomes

1.1 Relationship to the Council Outcomes that underpin the Council’s Vision:

Connected, vibrant and thriving Manawatu – the best rural lifestyle in New Zealand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manawatu District will improve the natural environment, stewarding the district in a practice aligned to the concept of kaitiakitanga.</th>
<th>The Manawatu will attract and retain residents.</th>
<th>Manawatu district develops a broad economic base from its solid foundation in the primary sector.</th>
<th>Manawatu and its people are connected via quality infrastructure and technology.</th>
<th>Manawatu’s built environment is safe, reliable and attractive.</th>
<th>Manawatu District Council is an agile and efficient organisation.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Background

2.1 Since the beginning of the construction period of the Makino Aquatic Centre Redevelopment in September 2015, Officers have been providing updates to Council through Project Status reports in Council workshop.

2.2 This report summarises the four Project Status Reports that have been presented to Council at workshops in September and December 2015, and January and March 2016.

2.3 Programme

Progress on site has been steady since construction began in September. This has now included significant demolition, subfloor plumbing and drainage and foundation works all being completed. Since November, visible work has picked up as Colspec began above ground works.

Progress on site has picked up rapidly since the January report with the volume of subcontractors and Colspec staff much increased. Since January the administration area floors have been poured, two thirds of the roofing has been installed, structural steel and footing work to the main hall has been undertaken, the splash pad and learn to swim super structure is also in place. The main change rooms have been clad and largely framed out, the new Myrtha learn to swim pool is installed and has been backfilled, and the upgrade work to the old mechanical bays is largely complete. Overall from a site perspective, progress has been significant since the new year.

The programme remains on target to see a complex opening July 2016.

Operational planning is now underway planning for the reopening of the complex. We expect in June to be able to provide an expected complex opening date to Council.

2.4 Operations

The temporary outdoor facilities continue to receive good patronage with the warm weather being of benefit over summer. A number of comments have been received about the temporary services once again promoting the value of the outdoor complex, something that is suggested to have been lost with the indoor complex. We are planning to run the outdoor complex to the end of the May school holidays.

We have run learn to swim for part of the terms with strong numbers attending and school numbers through the complex have remained high also.
2.5 Financial

A significant impact on the project contingency occurred in November 2015, when a large area of inferior ground was found under the old administration area. In order to achieve acceptable levels of ground consolidation a large area had to be dug out and re-compacted.

The project is still within budget and we continue to monitor at each Project Control Group meeting the contingency sum, in conjunction with the Project Quantity Surveyors - Rider Levett Bucknall, along with remaining risk items in relation to the project contingency. It is still highly likely that the project is delivered within budget.

Seven payments have been made to Colspec as of 22 March 2016, totalling $1,736,508. The significant increased progress of the construction is also reflected in the value of the claim payments, with payments of $752,500 being made for the months of January and February, with March payments yet to be made of a similar value at the time of writing. Overall the project contingency remains an area of risk for the project which is being managed.

In January and March we provided early notice of the opportunity to achieve discount on replacement covers for the pools through the contract, planned to be replaced in the 2016/17 financial year. A report will be tabled at Council’s April Meeting recommending to Council that funding for the covers of $35,000 is brought forward into the current financial year for the purchase of the covers, to take advantage of the savings.

The final application for funding of is shortly be made to Pub Charity towards Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment items, which sets out to achieve the remaining $55,000 of the external funding target.

A financial breakdown is provided in section 4 of this report.

2.6 Communications

Communications to the community have been ongoing prior to and during the project construction period. This has included morning tea updates to the public, club meetings, facebook and website updates and regular updates in the District news.

Communication to the community is about to be increased as we work towards the opening of complex. A morning tea for the public and a clubs meeting was held on 7 April. Further newsletter releases and a large page item in the Feilding Herald are also planned for April.

We will continue to increase our communications as we lead up to the opening of the complex.

2.7 General Matters

A proposal from Colspec has been received to seek funding on Council’s behalf for the replacement of the main pool air duct from local contractors. The replacement of the duct was outside of the original project scope.

The Project Steering Group have been clear that while replacement would be desirable, it would result in a scope change and additional funding and approval would need to be sought from Council. Colspec’s Managing Director has offered to seek funding from each of the subcontractors working on site to fund the ducts replacement and Officers are
working through the detail of this now. The value of the replacement duct is some $30,000.

This is a great initiative to fund the duct replacement has been led by the site construction manager Dan Giles, who should be congratulated for idea.

A roof-shout lunch was also held with Colspec and Makino Staff in March. Both Teams are excited and proud of the progress of the project.

3 Operational Implications

3.1 There are no additional capital/operating expenditure implications associated with this paper.

3.2 The main issue with operational implications for the project highlighted by the Project Manager is, "There are still a number of other key projects that are currently re-focussing the MDC Project Team Members time".

3.3 This has been a consistent issue in the previous three project status reports. The challenge for existing resource has been further pushed with recent staff absences and the vacancy in the Parks and Property Team Leader role. Additional resource has been sourced, however with a number of active large projects and activities underway resource continues to be stretched.

4 Financial implications

4.1 This project is funded through the LTP 2015-25.

4.2 The project financial performance is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Statistics</th>
<th>Actual To Date</th>
<th>ETC (Estimate to Complete Remainder of Project)</th>
<th>EAC (Forecast At Completion)</th>
<th>Approved Project Budget (Baseline)</th>
<th>Forecast Project Variance At Completion</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole of Life ($) Construction</td>
<td>$1,736,508</td>
<td>$5,243,095</td>
<td>$5,243,095</td>
<td>$5,284,888</td>
<td>($41,793)</td>
<td>This value is the remaining project contingency less identified risk items.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole of Life Overall</td>
<td>$2,314,213</td>
<td>$5,894,791</td>
<td>$5,894,791</td>
<td>$5,986,410</td>
<td>($91,619)</td>
<td>This value is the overall project value remaining</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Statutory Requirements

5.1 There are no specific statutory requirements associated with this report.

6 Delegations

6.1 The Strategic Planning and Policy Committee does not have delegated authority to make a decision on this matter.
7 Consultation

7.1 There are no consultation considerations to be taken into account for this report.

8 Cultural Considerations

8.1 There are no cultural considerations to be taken into account for this report.

9 Conclusion

9.1 Overall the project remains on time and on budget.

9.2 The Project Sponsor, Brent Limmer, remains confident in providing a project delivery status of “highly likely” for the project benefits and objectives.