

**SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED IN ORIGINAL SUBMISSIONS: PLAN CHANGE H(a) HISTORIC HERITAGE**

Submitter Name and No.	Plan Provision	Support/ Oppose	Reason	Decision Requested
S. M. and J. M. O'Brien Family Trust <b>S01/1</b>	Policy HH-P11; Rule HH-R28	Oppose	Proposed wording of Policy HH-P11 is too limited and does not provide for the consideration of the relocation of heritage items to be balanced against other relevant resource management issues. The policy has poor alignment with other (existing and unaltered) policies (e.g. HH-P7). Policy HH-P11 would take primacy over Policy HH-P7 and would apply new, more onerous /restrictive provisions to the relocation of buildings than would apply to the full demolition of a heritage building. HH-P11 would make full demolition or removal of a heritage item a more attractive option because of the wider scope for the consideration of applications for full demolition under HH-P7. HH-P11 also restricts the application of HH-P7 as relocation of the item may no longer be viewed as a 'reasonably practicable option' because of the directive nature of HH-P11. The wording of 'imminent danger to natural hazards' is considered to be too limited, as it does not allow applicants to consider natural hazards that are likely to cause future danger (such as flood plains).	Not specified, but submission outlines opposition to Policy HH-11 as it has poor alignment with other policies such as HH-P7 and is considered to be too limited.

**SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED IN ORIGINAL SUBMISSIONS: PLAN CHANGE H(a) HISTORIC HERITAGE**

<b>Submitter Name and No.</b>	<b>Plan Provision</b>	<b>Support/ Oppose</b>	<b>Reason</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>
Ministry of Education <b>S02/1</b>	Heritage Schedule 4b	Neutral	The six schools listed in the submission are designated by the Ministry of Education. The schools and the Ministry value the heritage item on each site. An education designation will prevail over any rules or listing of heritage items in the Plan (s176, RMA). The Ministry acknowledges the purpose of PC H(a), however the Ministry has a requirement to provide education spaces that can respond to roll changes. Schools value and are interested in protecting heritage items and generally will provide for growth/educational spaces whilst trying to protect these features.	Not provided.
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga <b>S03/1</b>	Definition of Attachment	Support	Supports the definition of attachment in relation to heritage items listed in Schedule 4b.	Retain definition of Attachment.

<b>SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED IN ORIGINAL SUBMISSIONS: PLAN CHANGE H(a) HISTORIC HERITAGE</b>				
<b>Submitter Name and No.</b>	<b>Plan Provision</b>	<b>Support/ Oppose</b>	<b>Reason</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga <b>S03/2</b>	Definition of Non-contributing building	Oppose	Concerned that parts of the building which may not be 'original', but still have high heritage value, could be interpreted as 'non-contributing' and therefore might be removed or adversely altered. Refers to the ICOMOS New Zealand Charter which encourages the valuing of differing periods of a building's history. Clear 'non-contributing' parts of a building can be identified specifically in the District Plan schedule. Where there is a situation that part of a building may have a lesser degree of heritage value than the main/original part, proposed alterations can be assessed as part of a resource consent process. This can be assisted by the introduction of a policy encouraging the removal of non-contributing parts of a scheduled heritage building.	Delete definition. Where it is clearly documented in the heritage inventory, amend the heritage schedule to specifically exclude non-contributing parts of the building. This can be recorded in the 'extent of protection' column of schedule 4b
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga <b>S03/3</b>	Historic Heritage Introduction: Issues	Support	Acknowledges that the removal of 'issues' is in line with the National Planning Standards.	Retain amendment as notified.
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga <b>S03/4</b>	HH-P1 Cultural values	Support	Reference to the New Zealand Heritage List / Rārangi Kōrero in this policy.	Retain policy.

<b>SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED IN ORIGINAL SUBMISSIONS: PLAN CHANGE H(a) HISTORIC HERITAGE</b>				
<b>Submitter Name and No.</b>	<b>Plan Provision</b>	<b>Support/ Oppose</b>	<b>Reason</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga <b>S03/5</b>	HH-P10	Support	Supports the differentiation of contributing and non-contributing parts of a heritage building, however the distinction of contributing and non-contributing needs to be clear and unambiguous.	Retain policy HH-P10.
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga <b>S03/6</b>	HH-P11	Support	Supports policy to restrict the relocation of heritage items. This policy aligns with HNZPT Guide to the Management of Historic Heritage: District Plans (April 2022).	Retain policy as notified.
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga <b>S03/7</b>	Explanation (after HH-P11)	Support (partial)	In general supports explanation. However, the explanation does not directly relate to HH-P11, it would be more appropriately located in the District Plan after HH-P1, or as part of the introduction to the heritage chapter.	Retain explanation but locate in a more appropriate place in the heritage chapter.
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga <b>S03/8</b>	Explanation (after HH-P15)	Support	Supports the amendments to this explanation.	Retain explanation.

SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED IN ORIGINAL SUBMISSIONS: PLAN CHANGE H(a) HISTORIC HERITAGE				
Submitter Name and No.	Plan Provision	Support/ Oppose	Reason	Decision Requested
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga S03/9	HH-R4	Oppose (partial)	Supports HH-R4, but in line with other submission points, the rule should be amended to refer to HH-S1.	Amend HH-R4 to: " <u>Where compliance with HH-S1 is not achieved. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary. Matters of discretion: Degree of non-compliance with the particular performance standards that the proposed works fails to meet in relation to the relevant heritage values listed in Schedule 4b.</u> "
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga S03/10	HH-R6	Support	Supports the amendment to HH-R6.	Retain rule.
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga S03/11	HH-R7	Oppose (partial)	Supports HH-R7 but in line with other submission points, the rule should be amended to refer to HH-S3	Amend HH-R7 to: " <u>Where compliance with HH-S3 is not achieved. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary. Matters of discretion: Degree of non-compliance with the particular performance standards that the proposed works fails to meet in relation to the relevant heritage values listed in Schedule 4b.</u> "

SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED IN ORIGINAL SUBMISSIONS: PLAN CHANGE H(a) HISTORIC HERITAGE				
Submitter Name and No.	Plan Provision	Support/ Oppose	Reason	Decision Requested
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga S03/12	HH-R9	Support	Supports this rule providing for minor external alterations to heritage items.	Retain rule.
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga S03/13	HH-R10	Support	Supports this rule, subject to the restrictions and recommended amendments in HH-S5.	Retain rule as notified.
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga S03/14	HH-R11	Support	Supports this rule, subject to the comments made in the submission point on the definition of non-contributing buildings. This rule may still be appropriate; however in the absence of a definition it would apply to non-contributing parts specifically identified in the schedule. In addition, the matters referred to in HH-R16 should be integrated into this rule.	Retain rule, subject to recommended changes, including the following: " <u>Where compliance with HH-S6 is not achieved. Activity status: restricted Discretionary. Matters of discretion: Degree of non-compliance with the particular performance standards that the proposed works fails to meet in relation to the relevant heritage values listed in Schedule 4b.</u> "
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga S03/15	HH-R14	Support	Supports this rule for external additions and alterations as a restricted discretionary activity.	Retain rule.

<b>SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED IN ORIGINAL SUBMISSIONS: PLAN CHANGE H(a) HISTORIC HERITAGE</b>				
<b>Submitter Name and No.</b>	<b>Plan Provision</b>	<b>Support/ Oppose</b>	<b>Reason</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga <b>S03/16</b>	HH-R15	Support	Supports this rule providing for relocation on the same site of heritage item listed in Schedule 4(b) as a restricted discretionary activity.	Retain rule.
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga <b>S03/17</b>	HH-R16	Oppose (partial)	Supports the intent of HH-R16. However, this rule would be better integrated with other rules for which HH-S1, HH-S3 and HH-S6 are relevant (HH-R4, HH-R7 and HH-R11).	Delete rule (HH-R16), but integrate provisions into HH-R4, HH-R7 and HH-R11.
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga <b>S03/18</b>	HH-R24	Support	Supports a discretionary activity rule for external additions and alterations to any Category B significant historic built heritage listed in Schedule 4(a).	Retain rule.
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga <b>S03/19</b>	HH-R25	Support	Supports the amendments to HH-R25.	Retain as notified.
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga <b>S03/20</b>	HH-R27	Support	Supports a discretionary activity rule for relocation on the same site of Category A heritage item listed in Schedule 4b.	Retain rule.
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga <b>S03/21</b>	HH-R29	Support	Supports the proposed amendments to HH-R29.	Retain rule.
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga <b>S03/22</b>	HH-R30	Support	Supports a discretionary activity for any activity not provided for in relation to Category B heritage items in Schedule 4b.	Retain rule.

**SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED IN ORIGINAL SUBMISSIONS: PLAN CHANGE H(a) HISTORIC HERITAGE**

Submitter Name and No.	Plan Provision	Support/ Oppose	Reason	Decision Requested
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga <b>S03/23</b>	HH-S2	Support	Supports this standard for when buildings need to be demolished due to fire, earthquake, or other disaster.	Retain standard as notified.
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga <b>S03/24</b>	HH-S5	Oppose (partial)	HH-S5 refers to Rules 3E.4.1 and 3E.4.2 relate to permitted activities. 3E.4.2 is the list of permitted activity standards, including that there be only one sign per site, and that the maximum sign size is 0.6m <sup>2</sup> . While these standards are generally appropriate, additional standards should be introduced for signs on heritage buildings. It is important that signs on heritage buildings do not obscure significant architectural features, and are not attached in a way that damages the heritage fabric.	Include the following standard for signs on heritage buildings in Schedule 4a and 4b: " <u>Signs must not obscure significant architectural features, and must be attached to the building in a way that minimises damage to heritage fabric.</u> "
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga <b>S03/25</b>	HH-S6	Support	Supports that external alterations should not result in any change to the height and footprint of the non-contributing part of the building. However, as referred to in other submission points, there should be more clarity around the definition of a 'non-contributing' building.	Retain HH-S6.



<b>SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED IN ORIGINAL SUBMISSIONS: PLAN CHANGE H(a) HISTORIC HERITAGE</b>				
<b>Submitter Name and No.</b>	<b>Plan Provision</b>	<b>Support/ Oppose</b>	<b>Reason</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga <b>S03/26</b>	Schedule 4b - reference to non-contributing parts of buildings	Oppose (partial)	The schedule includes comments on levels of authenticity, including 'high', 'moderate' and 'low'. Some of the places with 'low' authenticity because of changes over time may need to have 'non-contributing' components specifically identified, either with a specific reference in the 'extent of protection' column, or by delineating the non-contributing parts on a plan or diagram. An example of where this may be appropriate is 'The Pines'.	Amend schedule 4b to include explicit identification of non-contributing parts of buildings, either by a specific description, or by delineating the non-contributing parts on a plan or diagram.
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga <b>S03/27</b>	Additional item to be added to Schedule 4b - Okahupokia Pā	Oppose (partial)	Okahupokia Pā, on the eastern bank of the Rangitikei River near Ōhingaiti, is included in the New Zealand Heritage List / Rārangi Kōrero: List No. 7611, as a Category 2 historic place. Subject to advice from mana whenua, it should be included in Schedule 4b, or within an alternative schedule of sites of significance to Māori.	Include Okahupokia Pā in Schedule 4b or other appropriate District Plan schedule.
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga <b>S03/28</b>	Additional item to be added in Schedule 4b - Mangaweka Bridge	Oppose (partial)	Mangaweka Bridge, spanning the Rangitikei River at Mangaweka, is included in the New Zealand Heritage List / Rārangi Kōrero: (List No. 9746), as a Category 2 historic place. This structure should be included in Schedule 4b.	Include the Mangaweka Bridge in Schedule 4b

SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED IN ORIGINAL SUBMISSIONS: PLAN CHANGE H(a) HISTORIC HERITAGE				
Submitter Name and No.	Plan Provision	Support/ Oppose	Reason	Decision Requested
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga <b>S03/29</b>	Consequential changes to other plan chapters, including information requirements, earthworks, subdivisions, signs, and relocated buildings	Support	HNZPT supports the consequential changes identified as part of Plan Change H.	Retain amendments as notified.
New Zealand Defence Force <b>S04/1</b>	Inclusion of RNZAF hangars Nos. 2 and 3 in Schedule 4b	Support in part	The buildings are identified as a Category 1 listing by Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga, and NZDF recognises that the inclusion of the buildings as a heritage feature within the Proposed Plan is consistent with this listing. No.2 hangar houses 42 squadron and No.3 hangar houses 5 squadron. This requires an amendment to Appendix 4 RB1-8 Rural Buildings.	Amend Appendix 4 RB1-8 Rural Buildings as follows: "Today number 2 hanger houses No. <del>3</del> <u>42</u> Squadron <del>of helicopters</del> and number 3 hanger houses no. <del>75</del> <u>5</u> squadron of fixed wing aircraft."
New Zealand Defence Force <b>S04/2</b>	Policy HH-P10	Support	It is appropriate that the policy framework enables minor alterations to non-contributing parts of heritage buildings.	Retain as notified.

<b>SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED IN ORIGINAL SUBMISSIONS: PLAN CHANGE H(a) HISTORIC HERITAGE</b>				
<b>Submitter Name and No.</b>	<b>Plan Provision</b>	<b>Support/ Oppose</b>	<b>Reason</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>
New Zealand Defence Force <b>S04/3</b>	Rule HH-R9	Support	It is appropriate that minor external alterations of heritage buildings are a permitted activity, subject to compliance with standards.	Retain as notified.
New Zealand Defence Force <b>S04/4</b>	Rule HH-R10	Support	It is appropriate that signage on heritage buildings is provided for as a permitted activity, subject to compliance with standards.	Retain as notified.
New Zealand Defence Force <b>S04/5</b>	Rule HH-R11	Support	It is appropriate that minor external alterations to non-contributing parts of heritage buildings is provided for as a permitted activity, subject to compliance with standards.	Retain as notified. We note that the defined term 'non-contributing parts' should be in bold at all locations within the plan so the reader knows the term is defined.
New Zealand Defence Force <b>S04/6</b>	Standard HH-S5	Support	The proposed standard requires compliance with the existing permitted activity rule 3E.4.1 and standards 3E.4.2 within the operative District Plan. Consistency across Plan chapters is appropriate.	Retain as notified.

SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED IN ORIGINAL SUBMISSIONS: PLAN CHANGE H(a) HISTORIC HERITAGE				
Submitter Name and No.	Plan Provision	Support/ Oppose	Reason	Decision Requested
New Zealand Defence Force <b>S04/7</b>	Standard HH-S6	Support with amendments	The proposed standard is generally supported. However, as currently worded, no change in the height or footprint of non-contributing parts is permitted by the standard. Even a decrease in height or footprint would require a resource consent. We assume the intent is to prevent increases in height or footprint which could further visually detract from the heritage building. Therefore, we suggest the replacement of the word 'change' with 'increase'.	Amend Standard HH-S6 as follows: <b>"External Alterations to Non-Contributing Parts of Heritage Buildings in Schedule 4b must comply with the following standard: i. External alterations to non-contributing parts of a heritage building listed in Schedule 4b must not result in any <del>change</del> increase to the height and footprint of the non-contributing part of the building.</b>
New Zealand Defence Force <b>S04/8</b>	Attachment' definition	Support	Definition is (sic) appropriate.	Retain as notified.

SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED IN ORIGINAL SUBMISSIONS: PLAN CHANGE H(a) HISTORIC HERITAGE				
Submitter Name and No.	Plan Provision	Support/ Oppose	Reason	Decision Requested
New Zealand Defence Force <b>S04/9</b>	Non-contributing building' definition	Support	The definition is supported. A minor amendment is proposed to be consistent with the wording used in the rules and standards.	Amend definition as follows: <b><i>“Non-contributing parts of heritage buildings:</i></b> For heritage items listed in Schedule 4b, non-contributing parts of a heritage building are those parts of a building which may have been added to the main heritage building at a later time than original construction of the main building and are not constructed in the same style, finishes or materials as the original building, and includes lean-to's.
Historic Places Manawatu-Horowhenua <b>S05/1</b>	Policy: Categories for heritage places (HH-P2)	Support	Support the change reducing categories from A, B and C to A and B only. Category C places only needed to be recorded before their demolition.	Change current category listing A - B - C to a two-part listing, A and B only.

<b>SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED IN ORIGINAL SUBMISSIONS: PLAN CHANGE H(a) HISTORIC HERITAGE</b>				
<b>Submitter Name and No.</b>	<b>Plan Provision</b>	<b>Support/ Oppose</b>	<b>Reason</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>
Historic Places Manawatu-Horowhenua <b>S05/2</b>	HH-S2 Demolition Guidance Note	Support with amendments	Suggest that the proposed guidance note that a photographic record MUST, not just will be encouraged in the case of demolition of any historic place, Category A or B. Otherwise, places of 'lesser interest' can be lost with no physical record of the features which saw them initially listed on the plan.	Add guidance note requiring photography of any historic place to be demolished.
Historic Places Manawatu-Horowhenua <b>S05/3</b>	Archaeological consent required by HNZPT Act	New amendment	Ask that the plan includes the guidance note that under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act, any place demolished which pre-dates 1900 requires archaeological consent and assessment by Heritage NZ.	Add guidance note requiring archaeological assessment of any historic place pre-1900 in age.
Historic Places Manawatu-Horowhenua <b>S05/4</b>	Minor alterations	Support	Support the change which will permit minor changes to more modern parts of existing heritage buildings where they are not constructed in the same style, materials or finishes as the original.	Add policy permitting changes to external features.
Historic Places Manawatu-Horowhenua <b>S05/5</b>	Attachments	Support	Support the change which will permit solar panels, heat pumps and infrastructure to be added where they are not visible from a road or public space.	Add policy permitting attachments to non-essential sections of a historic structure.

<b>SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED IN ORIGINAL SUBMISSIONS: PLAN CHANGE H(a) HISTORIC HERITAGE</b>				
<b>Submitter Name and No.</b>	<b>Plan Provision</b>	<b>Support/ Oppose</b>	<b>Reason</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>
Historic Places Manawatu-Horowhenua <b>S05/6</b>	Section 32 Report: Appendix 3 Recommendations for Schedule 4b: Table 1	Support	Support listings in Table 1 and the new proposed categories. In particular, in favour of change from Category C to A for Opiki Toll Bridge, reflecting Heritage NZ rating of Category 1.	Approve of Table 1 with the proposed categories.
Historic Places Manawatu-Horowhenua <b>S05/7</b>	Section 32 Report: Appendix 3 Recommendations for Schedule 4b: Table 2	Support	Support Table 2 listings and the recommended categories for these places. In particular, support the inclusion of the Ohakea Air Force hangars and Highden as Category A, which both have Category 1 ratings by Heritage NZ but are not on the District Plan list. Also support inclusion of the RNZAF Operations Room for its national rarity as a historic place. In the next review (or this one) would like to see the investigation and inclusion of post World War II modernist places as heritage should not be confined to the colonial era. The lack of modernist buildings or places would be to neglect over 70 years of history, in particular the late Betty Williamson's Group Architects house at Cheltenham, or the house that architect Sir Michael Fowler built for his parents in Feilding.	Approve of Table 2 with the proposed categories.
Historic Places Manawatu-Horowhenua <b>S05/8</b>	Section 32 Report: Appendix 3 Recommendations for Schedule 4b: Table 3	Support	Appreciate that some have been demolished, moved from their sites or now are part of the Palmerston North City Council area due to boundary changes. We support the changes made in this list.	Approve of Table 3 and the removal of these heritage places for various reasons from the Manawatu District Plan.

<b>SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED IN ORIGINAL SUBMISSIONS: PLAN CHANGE H(a) HISTORIC HERITAGE</b>				
<b>Submitter Name and No.</b>	<b>Plan Provision</b>	<b>Support/ Oppose</b>	<b>Reason</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>
Horizons Regional Council <b>S06/1</b>	Plan Change H(a)	Support	Changes proposed through Plan Change H(a) align with the requirements of the One Plan Objective 6-3 (Historic Heritage) and Policies 6-11 (territorial authorities to include provisions in District Plans to protect historic heritage of national significance) and 6-12 (territorial authorities to develop and maintain schedule of known historic heritage, with a statement of the qualities applying to each site).	Supports proposed changes in Plan Change H(a) as they align with the One Plan RPS. Seeks relief set out in the submission or any further alternative or consequential relief that achieves the outcomes sought.
Horizons Regional Council <b>S06/2</b>	HH-R9: Minor External Alterations	Support	The proposed changes to enable solar panels, heat pumps, and infrastructure connections where they are not visible from a road or public space align with One Plan provisions in policy 3-7 relating to energy efficiency (encouraging energy-efficient house design and access to solar energy).	Supports proposed changes for HH-R9. Seeks relief set out in the submission or any further alternative or consequential relief that achieves the outcomes sought.



**SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED IN ORIGINAL SUBMISSIONS: PLAN CHANGE H(a) HISTORIC HERITAGE**

Submitter Name and No.	Plan Provision	Support/ Oppose	Reason	Decision Requested
Horizons Regional Council (HRC) <b>S06/3</b>	Schedule 4b: Opiki Toll Bridge	Support / advice	Land adjacent to the bridge is owned by HRC and functions as a floodway as part of the Lower Manawatu flood control and drainage scheme. Understands that HRC does not own the bridge or the land it is located on (part of river parcel). HRC engineers do not undertake works on the bridge or any abutments and as such, understand works in this area will not require a resource consent. Given the bridge is located within a flood control and drainage scheme, HRC request that any party undertaking works on the bridge structure advise HRC's river management department in advance to ensure risks to flood protection structures are avoided. Advise that certain works in the riparian margin (10 metres from the river bed) may require resource consent from HRC.	Information noted.

**SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED IN ORIGINAL SUBMISSIONS: PLAN CHANGE H(a) HISTORIC HERITAGE**

Submitter Name and No.	Plan Provision	Support/ Oppose	Reason	Decision Requested
Horizons Regional Council <b>S06/4</b>	Liquefaction susceptibility (Opiki Toll Bridge)	Support / advice	Liquefaction risk at the location of the Opiki Toll bridge has been identified as 'moderate' according to the regional scale liquefaction susceptibility mapping by GNS Science. Refer to Horizons Regional Natural Hazard map profile on Horizons Maps/Public Viewer to view the liquefaction map. Further questions about liquefaction at the Opiki Toll Bridge location should be directed to GNS Science.	Information noted.