

#007

First name: David

Physical Address: 1 Bella Court Feilding 4702

Last name: Lloyd

Phone (day): 063236123

Mobile:

Email: [djolleyd@hotmail.com](mailto:djolleyd@hotmail.com)

Could you gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission? No

Are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter that:  
a) Adversely affects the environment; No  
and b) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition:

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are as follows (please give details):  
Road safety Pedestrian safety Community facilities Water

My submission is that (Summarise the nature of your submission. Clearly indicate whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have amendments made, giving reasons):

The expansion to the north of Feilding is already generating a number of problems which the Council seems slow in addressing. In summary the ones that come to my mind are: Road Safety: Extra traffic is causing problems at several places and probably one of the worst is the road junction between North St, Pharayn St and Kimbolton Road. This is now a dangerous junction with the amount of traffic generated by new residents and contractors vehicles and unless something is done soon, there is going to be a serious accident. There is plenty of room for a completely new traffic arrangement with, I would have thought, a roundabout as the best option. Pedestrian Safety: There is also the issue of providing a crossing on Aorangi St at the junction with KImbolton Road. Council has wasted valuable ratepayer dollars providing 'sheep pens' at the railway crossing which have no bearing on pedestrian safety but has done nothing to ensure that the young, elderly or infirm can safely cross three lanes of traffic from the CBD to Countdown and The Warehouse. Community facilities: With regard to all the new residential properties it is interesting that Council charges a large fee for 'recreational facilities' when subdividing and erecting new buildings yet the council has still not built any new community facilities, playgrounds or parks at the north end of town. What is being done to address this. Water: There is also the question of water supply and quality which I have raised with the Council on multiple occasions. We have noticed a drop in water pressure to our property over the past couple of years and Council still persists in punishing low users by charging a fixed fee rather than employing water meters to ensure that the user pays the correct amount. More housing is going to put more pressure on the water supply with the inevitable results. Also Council still seems to have learnt nothing from the supply fiasco in Havelock North and still has not got to grips with adequate testing to even the low requirements in New Zealand compared the other first world countries and the latest on-line report details this as posing an unacceptable risk to users (<http://www.drinkingwater.esr.cri.nz/supplies/supplycomplyforcy.asp?ccode=FEI001>).

I/we seek the following decision from the Manawatu District Council (give precise details):

I would like Council to carefully consider my points.

Do you wish to speak in support of your submission:

No

If others make a similar submission, would you

Yes

consider  
presenting a  
joint case  
with them at  
the hearing?:

**From:** seamless@mdc.govt.nz  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 29 May 2019 10:07 AM  
**To:** Jeremy Savell; districtplanreview  
**Subject:** Proposed Plan Change 51 Submitted

First name: David  
Physical Address: 1 Bella Court Feilding 4702  
Last name: Lloyd  
Phone (day): 063236123  
Mobile:  
Email: [djolloyd@hotmail.com](mailto:djolloyd@hotmail.com)

Could you gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission?: No

Are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter that: a) Adversely affects the environment; and b) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition: No

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are as follows (please give details): Changes to Rating category

My submission is that (Summarise the nature of your submission. Clearly indicate whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have amendments made, giving reasons):

Further to my earlier submission I need to raise the question the change of rating category for Bella Court as part of the proposed new zoning. As I understand, it is the intention that Feilding Nodal will be removed and replaced by Residential zoning to make it easier for future development and subdivision. When Bella Court was created in the early 2000's, the parcel of land available was subdivided into 5 sections and any buildings erected had to comply with the rules in place at the time. This meant that to achieve the required separation between buildings and boundaries, the houses had to be built more or less in the middle of the sections and this now means that opportunities for further subdivision are limited. Whilst I can appreciate that there is a need for more and smaller sections to allow the town to expand, I cannot see why the Council want to apply changes to existing developments. In 2016, when these changes were first brought to my attention, I emailed Cynthia Ward (Planning) with my concerns. She forwarded my query to Beth Harker (Rating) and I received a response from her dated 29/3/2016 stating that properties in Bella Court would

have a rating remission in place until a subdivision on a property was lodged with Council. Unfortunately neither of these people are employed by MDC any longer but I have copies of the email chain and wish to ensure that this remission remains in place as promised.

I/we seek the following decision from the Manawatu District Council (give precise details):

I want MDC to provide assurance that the written guarantee I received regarding the rating remission is honoured

Do you wish to speak in support of your submission:

No

If others make a similar submission, would you consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing?:

Yes